LAWRENCE G. WASDEN
ATTORNEY GENERAL
CLIVE J. STRONG
Deputy Attorney General
Chief, Natural Resources Division

PHILLIP J. RASSIER, ISB #1750 CHRIS M. BROMLEY, ISB #6530

Deputy Attorneys General Idaho Department of Water Resources P. O. Box 83720 Boise, Idaho 83720-0098

Telephone: (208) 287-4800 Facsimile: (208) 287-6700

Attorneys for Respondents

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF GOODING

A&B IRRIGATION DISTRICT, AMERICAN FALLS ()
RESERVOIR DISTRICT #2, BURLEY IRRIGATION ()
DISTRICT, MILNER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, ()
MINIDOKA IRRIGATION DISTRICT, NORTH SIDE ()
CANAL COMPANY, and TWIN FALLS CANAL ()
COMPANY, ()

Case No. CV-2008-0000551

Petitioners,

vs.

DAVID R. TUTHILL, JR., in his capacity as Director of the Idaho Department of Water Resources, and THE IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES.

NOTICE OF PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION FILED BEFORE THE AGENCY

Respondents.

IN THE MATTER OF DISTRIBUTION OF WATER
TO VARIOUS WATER RIGHTS HELD BY OR FOR
THE BENEFIT OF A&B IRRIGATION DISTRICT,
AMERICAN FALLS RESERVOIR DISTRICT #2,
BURLEY IRRIGATION DISTRICT, MILNER
IRRIGATION DISTRICT, MINIDOKA IRRIGATION
DISTRICT, NORTH SIDE CANAL COMPANY,
AND TWIN FALLS CANAL COMPANY

TO: CLERK OF THE ABOVE-ENTITLED COURT AND TO ALL COUNSEL OF RECORD:

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT the Idaho Department of Water Resources ("IDWR") received a timely petition for reconsideration on September 19, 2008 to the *Final Order Regarding the Surface Water Coalition Delivery Call* issued by the IDWR Director on September 5, 2008, which is the subject of the present action for judicial review before the district court. *See* Attachment "A" (*Reclamation's Petition for Reconsideration*). Pursuant to I.C. § 67-5246(4), the Director shall act on the petition for reconsideration within twenty-one (21) days of its receipt, or the petition is deemed denied by operation of law.

IDWR will provide further notification to the Court and the parties when action is taken on the petition. Parties intending to respond to the petition should do so within fourteen (14) days of the filing of the petition.

DATED this 25th day of September 2008.

LAWRENCE G. WASDEN
Attorney General
CLIVE J. STRONG
Deputy Attorney General
CHIEF, NATURAL RESOURCES DIVISION

PHILLIP J. RASSIER

CHRIS M. BROMLEY

Deputy Attorney General

Idaho Department of Water Resources

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I am a duly licensed attorney in the state of Idaho, employed by the Attorney General of the state of Idaho and residing in Boise, Idaho; and that I served a true and correct copy of the following described document on the persons listed below by mailing in the United States mail, first class, with the correct postage affixed thereto on this 25th day of September, 2008.

Document Served:

NOTICE OF PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION FILED BEFORE THE AGENCY

Deputy Clerk Gooding County District Court 624 Main St. P.O. Box 27 Gooding, ID 83330	 ∠ U.S. Mail, postage prepaid ⊢ Hand Delivery ⊢ Overnight Mail ⊢ Facsimile ⊢ Email
Tom Arkoosh CAPITOL LAW GROUP, PLLC P.O. Box 2598 Boise, ID 83701-2598 (208) 424-8873 tarkoosh@capitollawgroup.net	U.S. Mail, postage prepaid Hand Delivery Overnight Mail Facsimile Email
John A. Rosholt John K. Simpson Travis L. Thompson Paul L. Arrington BARKER ROSHOLT & SIMPSON, LLP P.O. Box 485 Twin Falls, ID 83303-0485 jks@idahowaters.com tlt@idahowaters.com pla@idahowaters.com	 ✓ U.S. Mail, postage prepaid Hand Delivery Overnight Mail Facsimile Email
W. Kent Fletcher FLETCHER LAW OFFICE P.O. Box 248 Burley, ID 83318-0248 (208) 878-2548 wkf@pmt.org	 ✓ U.S. Mail, postage prepaid Hand Delivery Overnight Mail Facsimile Email

	<u></u>	
	Randall C. Budge Candice M. McHugh Thomas J. Budge RACINE OLSON P.O. Box 1391 Pocatello, ID 83204-1391 rcb@racinelaw.net cmm@racinelaw.net tjb@racinelaw.net	 ✓ U.S. Mail, postage prepaid Hand Delivery Overnight Mail Facsimile ✓ Email
	Sarah Klahn William A Hillhouse II Kelly Snodgrass WHITE JANKOWSKI, LLP 511 16th St., Ste 500 Denver, CO 80202 sarahk@white-jankowski.com billh@white-jankowski.com kellys@white-jankowski.com	 ✓ U.S. Mail, postage prepaid Hand Delivery Overnight Mail Facsimile Email
	Dean Tranmer CITY OF POCATELLO P.O. Box 4169 Pocatello, ID 83205 dtranmer@pocatello.us	 ✓ U.S. Mail, postage prepaid Hand Delivery Overnight Mail Facsimile ✓ Email
	Michael C. Creamer GIVENS PURSLEY, LLP P.O. Box 2720 Boise, ID 83701-2720 mcc@givenspursley.com	 ✓ U.S. Mail, postage prepaid Hand Delivery Overnight Mail Facsimile ✓ Email
!	Michael S. Gilmore ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE P.O. Box 83720 Boise, ID 83720-0010 mike.gilmore@ag.idaho.gov	 ∠ U.S. Mail, postage prepaid Hand Delivery Overnight Mail Facsimile Email
	Kathleen Carr U.S. DEPT. OF INTERIOR 960 Broadway, Ste 400 P.O. Box 4169 Boise, ID 83706	U.S. Mail, postage prepaid Hand Delivery Overnight Mail Facsimile Email

Matt Howard U.S. BUREAU OF RECLAMATION 1150 N Curtis Road Boise, ID 83706-1234 mhoward@pn.usbr.gov	U.S. Mail, postage prepaid Hand Delivery Overnight Mail Facsimile Email
Josephine Beeman BEEMAN & ASSOC. 409 W Jefferson Boise, ID 83702 jo.beeman@beemanlaw.com	U.S. Mail, postage prepaid Hand Delivery Overnight Mail Facsimile Email
Terry Uhling JR SIMPLOT CO 999 Main Street Boise, ID 83702 tuhling@simplot.com	U.S. Mail, postage prepaid Hand Delivery Overnight Mail Facsimile Email
James Tucker IDAHO POWER CO 1221 W. Idaho St. Boise, ID 83702 jamestucker@idahopower.com	 ∠ U.S. Mail, postage prepaid ⊢ Hand Delivery ⊢ Overnight Mail ⊢ Facsimile ∠ Email
James Lochhead Adam Devoe BROWNSTEIN HYATT 410 17TH ST 22ND Floor Denver, CO 80202 jlochhead@bhf-law.com adevoe@bhf-law.com	U.S. Mail, postage prepaid Hand Delivery Overnight Mail Facsimile Email

Phillip D. Rassier PHILLIP J. RASSIER Deputy Attorney General

RECEIVED

SEP 1 9 2008

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Kathleen Marion Carr Office of the Field Solicitor U. S. Department of the Interior 960 Broadway, Suite 400 Boise, Idaho 83706

Telephone:

(208) 334-1911

Facsimile:

(208) 334-1918

U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF DISTRIBUTION)
OF WATER TO VARIOUS WATER RIGHTS	· ·
HELD BY OR FOR THE BENEFIT OF)
A&B IRRIGATION DISTRICT, AMERICAN) RECLAMATION'S PETITION
FALLS RESERVOIR DISTRICT #2, BURLEY) FOR RECONSIDERATION
IRRIGATION DISTRICT, MILNER)
IRRIGATION DISTRICT, MINIDOKA)
IRRIGATION DISTRICT, NORTH SIDE)
CANAL COMPANY, and TWIN FALLS)
CANAL COMPANY)
)
)

INTRODUCTION

The United States Bureau of Reclamation, by and through its attorney and duly authorized representative, Kathleen Marion Carr, Office of the Field Solicitor, pursuant to Idaho Code § 67-5246(4), hereby petitions for reconsideration of the Final Order Regarding the Surface Water Coalition Delivery Call (hereafter "Final Order") dated September 5, 2008. Reclamation reserves the right to raise on appeal any other issues raised in the administrative proceedings.

1. THE DIRCTOR'S FINAL ORDER DOES NOT COMPLY WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF IDAHO CODE § 67-5248(1).

Idaho Code § 67-5248(1) requires that a final order of the agency include "a reasoned statement in support of the decision" and "a concise and explicit statement of the underlying facts of record supporting the findings." In *Intermountain Health Care*, *Inc. v. Board of County Commissioners of Caribou County*, the Idaho Supreme Court explained the rationale for requiring agencies to make findings in contested cases:

We note at the outset the rationale for requiring an agency to make findings in contested cases: . . . The Court's most frequent reason for requiring findings or findings and reasons has been to facilitate judicial review; Mr. Justice Cardozo is often quoted: 'We must know what a decision means before the duty becomes ours to say whether it is right or wrong.' *United States v. Chicago, M., St. P. & P.R. Co., 294 U.S. 499, 510-11 [55 S.Ct. 462, 467, 79 L.Ed. 1023]* (1935). Mr. Justice Frankfurter explained that the requirement 'is merely part of the need for courts to know what it is that the Commission has really determined in order that they may know what to review. . . . The motivating reason usually is that a reviewing court cannot understand the agency's action unless findings and reasons are stated; an additional reason that alone should suffice to support the requirement is that a statement of findings and reasons is usually an effective protection against arbitrariness." Davis, ADMINISTRATIVE LAW TREATISE, Vol. 3 (2d ed. 1980), p. 102.

108 Idaho 757, 760-61 (1985) (internal citations omitted). The Court recently reaffirmed the reasoning of *Intermountain Health Care, Inc.*, in the context of a decision issued pursuant to the Idaho Administrative Procedures Act: "Under the APA 'specificity in the findings and reasons of the lower tribunal is vital." *Mercy Medical Center v. Ada County*, 2008 Ida. LEXIS 161 at *14 (Aug. 26, 2008) (internal citation omitted).

The present case involves a complex set of legal and technical issues. Over the period of more than three years, the agency has issued numerous interlocutory orders leading up to the Final Order. As a result, a voluminous number of highly technical and detailed findings of fact and conclusions of law are currently spread out over a large landscape of prior interlocutory orders issued by the Director, the former Director, and the Hearing Officer. For purposes of illustration, a sampling of only eleven of the interlocutory orders issued in this case, including the Final Order, total 306 pages.¹

¹ There were more than eleven orders issued in this case, but for purposes of the above illustration, the following orders are referenced: Amended Order (May 2, 2005); Supplemental Order Amending

The Final Order briefly addresses only four issues raised in this case: replacement water plans, timing of reasonable carryover, prediction of material injury, and the ESPA ground water model. In an attempt to address the remaining issues in the case, the Final Order includes a catch-all provision intended to incorporate all the prior findings of fact and conclusions of law made in prior orders:

That the findings of fact and conclusions of law entered herein, and the findings of facts [sic] and conclusions of law entered by the former Director and the Hearing Officer in these matters, unless discussed and modified in this FINAL ORDER are hereby accepted.

Final Order at 12.

The problem with this catch-all provision is that it makes no attempt to reconcile the discrepancies and inconsistencies between the various prior orders' findings of fact and conclusions of law. It simply indicates that the Director "accepts" the findings of fact and conclusions of law of both the former Director and the Hearing Officer. *Id.* This creates a problem on judicial review. How are the reviewing courts and parties on appeal supposed to ascertain the factual and/or legal basis for the Director's final ruling on an issue, where the prior orders (which the Director incorporates and accepts) contain discrepancies or inconsistencies?

For example, in his recommended order, the Hearing Officer ruled that the "principle [of first in time, first in right] is subject to consideration of the public interest" and that "the public interest affects determination of . . . carryover storage."

Replacement Water Requirements (July 22, 2005); Second Supplemental Order Amending Replacement Water Requirements (Dec. 27, 2005); Third Supplemental Order Amending Replacement Water Requirements Final 2005 & Estimated 2006 (June 29, 2006); Fourth Supplemental Order on Replacement Water Requirements (July 17, 2006); Fifth Supplemental Order Amending Replacement Water Requirements Final 2006 & Estimated 2007 (May 23, 2007); Sixth Supplemental Order Amending Replacement Water Requirements and Order Approving IGWA's 2007 Replacement Water Plan (July 11, 2007); Seventh Supplemental Order Amending Replacement Water Requirements (Dec. 20, 2007); Opinion Constituting Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Recommendation (Apr. 29, 2008); Eighth Supplemental Order Amending Replacement Water Requirements Final 2007 & Estimated 2008 (May 23, 2008); and Final Order Regarding the Surface Water Coalition Delivery Call (Sept. 5, 2008).

Recommended Order at 39. The former Director made findings and conclusions on the issue of reasonable carryover but did not articulate the same legal basis as the Hearing Officer. The Final Order does not expressly accept or reject either the Hearing Officer's or the former Director's findings and conclusions on this issue, except on the question of the timing of reasonable carryover. Unless the Final Order is amended, the reviewing courts and parties on appeal will be unable to determine the Director's basis for limiting carryover.

There are numerous other examples of inconsistencies and discrepancies between the prior orders. However, it is the Director's task to set out a "reasoned statement" in support of his final decision. *Idaho Code §* 67-5248(1). To the extent the Director wishes to use a catch-all provision to incorporate the voluminous number of findings and conclusions contained in literally hundreds of pages of prior orders, it is incumbent upon him to reconcile the inconsistencies and discrepancies among the various orders so the reviewing courts and parties can properly ascertain the basis of the Director's final decision on the many issues in this case.

CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, Reclamation requests that its *Petition for Reconsideration* be granted and that the Director issue a Final Order consistent with the requirements of Idaho Code § 67-5248(1).

DATED this 19th day of September 2008.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify on this 19th day of September 2008 I caused to be served a true and correct copy of the foregoing **Reclamation's Petition for Reconsideration** via first-class United States Mail email, and additional methods of service where specifically indicated, to the individuals listed below.

David R. Tuthill, Jr.	Josephine P. Beeman, Esq.	A Dean Tranmer
Director	Beeman & Associates PC	
		City of Pocatello PO Box 4169
Idaho Dept of Water Resources	409 West Jefferson	7 0 2011 1202
322 E Front St	Boise ID 83702	Pocatello ID 83205
Boise ID 83720-0098		
Hand-Delivered/Filed		
C. Thomas Arkoosh	Sarah A. Klahn	Michael C. Creamer
Capital Law Group PLLC	William A, Hillhouse II	Givens Pursley LLP
PO Box 2598	Kelly Snodgrass	PO Box 2720
Boise ID 83701-2598	White & Jankowski, LLP	Boise ID 83701-2720
	511 16th Street Ste 500	
	Denver CO 80202	
	<u> </u>	
W. Kent Fletcher	Michael S. Gilmore	Terry T. Uhling
Fletcher Law Office	Attorney General's Office	JR Simplot Company
PO Box 248	Statehouse, Room 210	999 Main Street
Burley ID 83318-0248	PO Box 83720	Boise ID 83702
	Boise ID 83720-0010	
1	1	
John A. Rosholt	James Tucker	Randy C. Budge
John K. Simpson	Idaho Power Company	Candice M. McHugh
Travis L. Thompson	1221 West Idaho Street	Thomas J. Budge
Barker Rosholt & Simpson LLP	Boise ID 83702	Racine Olson
PO Box 485		PO Box 1391
Twin Falls ID 83303-0485		Pocatello ID 83204-1391
James S. Lochhead	Kathleen Carr	
Adam T. DeVoe	Office of the Field Solicitor	
Brownstein Hyatt & Farber	U. S. Department of the Interior	
410 17th St 22nd Floor	960 Broadway Ste., 400	
Denver CO 80202	Boise ID 83706	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>